

The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

---

Vol. 62 No. 09

13<sup>th</sup> March 2026

---

IN THIS ISSUE

Another Psy-Op? By Neville Archibald

1

---

**Another Psy-Op? By Neville Archibald**

Last week I asked if we were in a War by any other name? This week, as we continue to see conflicting reports of it's success or failure, we must ask for a definition of it's true purpose.

In 2019 we had a 'pandemic'. I put this in inverted commas because whether you are a believer or not, the biggest outcome of the world-wide 'epidemic' had little to do with health! Since I have questioned all this before, I will not go into it now, I will simply ask the question:

What was the outcome on the individual and their rights going forward?

**We saw:**

Misinformation, disinformation and malinformation laws, resulting, ultimately in preparing the way for our acceptance of the 'hate speech' legislation. A basic reduction in our ability to express ourselves, and the right of some vague government body to determine what constitutes truth! (Orwell's Ministry of Truth)

- Police forces in all states actively pursuing 'criminals' for 'crimes' that were (in many cases) later overturned in courts of law as being 'unlawful'.
- Lock-down laws, Protest limiting laws, permission to travel documents, and the use of rubber bullets, pepper spray and violence in general on peacefully gathering citizens to disperse them.
- Kettling of protesters and then issuing fines for being too close together. I would beg the question, how this is not entrapment at its most obvious?
- United Nations and World Health Organisation legislation being ratified as a playbook for the next 'pandemic'. When it was clearly not a public decision, nor welcomed by a large portion of society.

- Facial recognition, tracking and phone or internet surveillance turned into a justifiable action. Made, if not 'legal', then acceptable use on anyone without a warrant or just cause?
- Permits to travel enforced.
- Mandatory medical conscription enforced by loss of job/income. Those without the necessary papers confirming this compliance being made a pariah, an unclean version of humanity; all because they would not fall for a government having the right to compulsory medicate someone.

These were all real things, brought about by our 'war' against a hyped up man made virus. The victims of this war turned out to be every country that signed up to this madness. We lost forever, in some cases, many of the freedoms associated with those controls above; which now sees the legislation created giving the state the ultimate say. What the State giveth, the State can take away. Classic Marxism.

Free speech, the right to protest against government over-reach and especially the right to state truth (unless it is government sponsored truth).

### **What will this current war bring us?**

Higher energy prices for one, the interruption of supply and our readiness to deal with it will be bandied about argued and commented on. The actions taken will not be to our (the peoples) benefit I am sure.

'The push for renewable energy is more important than ever in these petro uncertain times,' go the mouthpieces of change. Happy to point out that these methods of generation are here! In Australia!

What they don't dare say out loud, is that our other methods are here too! Our abundant coal (which we sell for a pittance overseas – that hasn't stopped because of net zero), our abundant gas, virtually given away but not in favour here! (Victoria even tried to outlaw it in new home development.) Oil in it's many forms, again creating little internal wealth for this country, is exported overseas while we import ours from somewhere else? Two ships in the night, passing each other, each loaded with the same thing, each burning fuel and polluting; for logical reason? Sanity it is not!

What green loving entity can, in all truth, not see just how absurd that is?

Control! What restrictions on control will we see enacted? Further free speech laws, or just the ability to try out test cases of the newly implemented ones? Will it be a consolidation of ownership of big companies? The ones that can weather the restrictions, thus imposed? And further destruction of small business, Mom and Dad firms?

Raising of taxes to fight the war – never to be removed completely?

Uncontrolled interest rate hikes or inflation will now find a completely logical

reason for increases. Once again the debt bubble caused by intentionally faulty financial practices, will find an apologist to blame. Keynesian debt finance economics must end in the whole world being in debt – there is no other alternative. A short war, a long war, what does it matter as long as the ramping up of production and unlimited exports prop up these failing economies. What is not possible in peace can be done on unimaginable scale during war-time. A million dollars a bomb in some cases!

What we will see when we get through it is a before and after scenario, similar to but different to the events of 2001 after 9/11. We saw huge changes to aeroplane travel, heck, many forms of travel, which are now subject to invasive and often ridiculous limitations. A scared public too conditioned now to see it. The use of money, also restricted or questioned. You could be funding terrorists, drug runners etc if you want enough cash to buy a car? What do you want your money for? We will need several days to do that! Who do they check with? How? Why? Is it not your own money they have?

These changes came in after the 'war on terror'. Nice sounding reasons were given, entirely plausible if everyone is to be considered a criminal-in-waiting. No more the 'innocent until proven guilty'! One basic right down, how many more to go?

War brings out the worst in some, it brings out the best in some. As I read it, it also brings out the profit, for many. Of course there are other winners too!

The division of the world into warring factions allows for greater concentration of power and resources into selected hands. Each time a war is fought, the disparity between rich and poor grows, a happy co-incidence or jut luck? The rise of giant chemical companies and oil conglomerates, the morphing into Multinational or even international businesses, accountable to no one country and owned by a select small group who control many from a backseat position. Blackrock and Vangaurd, little heard of but major investors in everything.

These silent 'investors' decide policy that can alter nations by the impact. A government without being a government. Prices rise everywhere and the buck stops at us, the consumer. Big profits are made in war, but debt is shouldered by the individual.

What we will see is higher debt and further taxes, restrictions and pressure placed on communities world-wide.

### **Right or wrong?**

*'The true Right is not serious. This is why the Left hates it, rather like a hangman must hate a victim who laughs and jokes on his way to the gallows. The Left is a conflagration that devours and consumes in deadly earnest.*

*All appearances notwithstanding, its parties are as grim as one of those parades*

*of puppets in Nuremberg or Beijing. The Right is a flickering flame that gaily dances, a jack-o'-lantern in the gloomy forest reduced to ashes.'*

Jean Raspail, The Camp of the Saints.

This quote may come from a demonised book from the 70s (one that is well worth revisiting for it's actual overview of where society is heading, with it's many woke agendas) but it fits the next bit of content.

Our nation, the same as many Nations around the world, face the same issues, albeit with slightly different names for the players. We here in Australia are trying to come to grips with two main parties that just don't seem to get it!

They don't seem to be able to read the expectations of the population. Elsewhere across the globe the same pretenders slog it out in every 'western' nation, while their populations similarly grieve for this loss of control. The 'Right' vs the 'Left' is a fictitious concept when you get down to it. Both have one final outcome in view, one that positions government as the master of the people, which I would have said was to the extreme right of political control.

Anarchy (no government) is on the real left, totalitarian rule (complete governing control, by either dictator or party) is on the right. Somewhere between is control by the population (democratic limited government). Both 'left' and 'right' around the world are fully on the one side of the real scale – the totalitarian side.

The 'right' in the quote above; however, is the opposition to a bleeding heart, self destructive, self abasing society, that has no regard for it's own culture or history. Those awake to the dangers this poses are in fear of losing the very things that created not only their wealth (in real terms, not monetary) but their stability and the freedoms they enjoyed.

Does that sound at all familiar?

As I look and listen to the many reports and conversations across a broad range of 'news items' I find so many similarities. In the case of the war and the actors involved in it's pursuance, I am disheartened by the inability of many to ask the right questions. When some do cross the path of reality, they often flounder for want of what I see as 'backbone'. The concept must be too big for them to comprehend or to close to political backlash and censure: their job may be on the line if they mention it!

Our 'leading' enquirers, the 'Free' press, have succumbed to control for the sake of the pennies they earn, and we cannot criticise them too much, as we collectively fell for the same self censorship when confronted with Vaccinate or Vacate your Job during Covid. We too sold our souls! Whether the actions of these 'leaders' legitimised our capitulation or in some way influenced it, we may never really know, but happen it did.

The question on war is now the same. Woke forces compete to describe reasons for each side to be right, the actions taken - justified. We are confronted by endless speculation and manipulation of facts. It is a lot to take on. Each looks to find an acceptable protagonist to blame; but fails to make the connection to the true manipulators of world politics, who are not to be mentioned. Why?

Enter a podcast with Bret Weinstein and Tucker Carlson,

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YRskSFo191Y>

This two hour conversation runs deep. It can be a lot to get through for some; but nothing can really be said in the thirty second news bulletins we are so used to. Around 1hr 50 they start talking in earnest of a foreign mindset that neither can comprehend. The ability of some leaders or groups to do things that do not seem logical or have any societal benefit in mind, is confounding. They mention dark forces, but still have difficulty re-shelving it from fantasy to non fiction. The very comprehension that this 'dark force' does exist seems to rattle them. When the conversation then goes into the Oct 7 terror attack and Apache helicopters, I'm afraid that is the dark! The killing of Charlie Kirk and others who speak out for rational discussion is also a part of that dark force, that no one, I repeat, no one truly wants to address! Over and over we see it, the disconnection at this very point. We retreat into our shells with what is expected, known. The hard yards are just too hard.

I enjoyed the conversation and will sit down with it again to review it a couple days from now. There will be things I missed. Were they skirting the edge of reason for a reason. We know what happens when a boundary is crossed; but it must be crossed for us to solve our problem.

We seem to have a growing opposition to the madness that is occurring across the globe, but we also have a denial to look too deep into the abyss in case we actually find something we don't like. If our world view is too naive we will be in trouble. Let's revisit our quote again:

*"The true right is not serious. This is why the left hates it, rather like the hangman must hate a victim who laughs and jokes on his way to the gallows. The left is a conflagration that devours and consumes in deadly earnest."*

Our strength may be our ability to not just laugh off the absurdity but also to accept some differences! This strength, as good as it is, makes us vulnerable if we do not examine and try to come to terms with this "dark force" being skirted around. On a battle field, the man who fights honourably will eventually be killed or overcome by treachery he cannot or will not see. If we cannot look outside our own particular world view and see that others do exist who will do evil things, then we have lost.

On television, we drool over murder shows, the more grisly the better. The protagonist can be the most horrific sociopath, with no conscience, no concept of right or wrong, and we love him. We see him as possible. He is easy to blame and a genius for being so hard to catch. We have a grudging admiration for him.

Put that same 'picture perfect man' in a world setting and, apart from Hitler who must be hated, it becomes impossible to conceive. Put that man in power somewhere and excuses must be made for actions so horrific to our mindset, that we cannot believe truth, even when we see it. Those who bring this truth to light must be wrong, they must be the 'haters'. Those who question the facts of 9/11 or October 7 become targets of abuse without any serious attempt to understand what is being said. The protagonist that would do such a thing (possibly even unto his own people) cannot be real! Our minds have been clouded by the ongoing war against reality.

This is the world picture we must be capable of seeing. We may see the emperor has no clothes; but we won't look further to see him on Epstein island with no clothes. It must be one step far. Surely he must just be silly, not corrupt!

Those who ridicule 'conspiracies', shut themselves out of rational conversations when more than two people collude. War is constant collusion, jockeying for power or advantage. No one cries illusion then. Why not look to the backers of this war? Those who have plenty to gain? Unwittingly carried along?

In past world conflicts, the winners have been those who supplied both sides. The manufacturers and financiers who found themselves owed huge sums of money and continued to profit no matter who won and who died. In the end the debt must still be paid! Take the example of the family who financed both sides during the first world war.

*Max and Paul Warburg, who were prominent German-Jewish bankers operating on opposite sides of the Atlantic during World War I, representing a fascinating, often controversial, case study of transnational finance during wartime. Google AI overview referencing Princeton University.*

These two representatives of a bigger entity ended up the winners, were they without conflict for their actions? Just how is this justified?

In later wars both sides were also financed in much the same way, the 'seen' lenders hiding the real controllers of wealth behind constructed entities. To talk about this is seen as indelicate, after all it was 'just business'. Someone had to do it!

The wealth disparity between haves and have nots has only ever increased. What are we missing? Is it because we will not look or because we have been


conditioned not to.

A further interview by Tucker Carlson explains further the difficulties we face when confronting corruption of process in government. While all this is American in nature, you can be sure a similar process exists here, you only have to be brave enough to look.

[https://www.youtube.com/results?search\\_query=tucker+and+carrie](https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=tucker+and+carrie)

Tucker interviews Carrie Prejean Boller, who was recently removed from the Religious Liberty Commission (set up by Trump to pursue exactly that). Her own religious liberty was denied and the cases she was to bring forward also denied. The reasons given eventually amount to the fact that she would not side with political Zionism over religious rights. Again this is a long interview, but the methods of control are so clearly exposed here that it is a must watch. The connection to the State of Israel (political Zionism) and it's interference in American public policy is shockingly exposed. What Tucker and Bret found hard to touch on in the previous podcast, is opened up here. Something I never thought I would see. Just where this ends up, given the wartime setting this is finally appearing in, is anyone's guess. We know true free speech is dead, with the country on a war footing, just how many talking about this will be prosecuted because they bring it to attention? These are some of the dark forces operating behind the scenes. They must be illuminated by the candle of truth.

Watch it now before it is removed! \*\*\*



[thepeoplescredit.com.au](http://thepeoplescredit.com.au) | [socred.org](http://socred.org) | C.H. DOUGLAS (1879 - 1952) | SCP-14

Annual Subscription to 'On Target' \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals - **printed and posted monthly.**

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks  
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.